

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,560	11/24/2003	John M. Jones	11283/09018-DIV-CON	5725
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Lloyd G. Farr Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP			PATEL, KIRAN B	
P.O. Box 11070 Columbia, SC 29211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			i
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,560	JONES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	$-\eta$
	Kiran B. Patel	3612	V
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this coming the coming th	munication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2     2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠     3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand or rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  ?) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Ø Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/03.	i) Paper No(:	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Art Unit: 3612

## Detailed Action Election and Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to a cargo trailer, classified in Class 296, Subclass
     186.1.
  - II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a cargo trailer, classified in Class 296, Subclass 183.1.
  - III. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method, classified in Class 29.
    - IV. Claims 16-23, drawn to a cargo trailer, classified in Class 296, Subclass 181.1.
      - V. Claims 24, drawn to a cargo trailer, classified in Class 296, Subclass 182.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions V, IV, II and I are related as combination and subcombination.

  Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the

Art Unit: 3612

combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination I as claimed does not require the particulars of a pair of third longitudinal flanges of subcombination II, a scuff band of subcombination IV, and an outer skin of subcombination V. The subcombination has a utility in other combinations such as a pipe connection, a building wall, and partition respectively.

The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions V, IV, II, I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the construction of cargo trailer can be made manually with out the use of the stamping.

Art Unit: 3612

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 2

Species B - directed towards Fig. 3

Species C - directed towards Fig. 4

Species D - directed towards Fig. 5

Species E - directed towards Fig. 6A

Species F - directed towards Fig. 6B

Species G - directed towards Fig. 6C

Species H - directed towards Fig. 6D

Species I - directed towards Fig. 7A

Species J - directed towards Fig. 7B

Species K - directed towards Fig. 7C

Species L - directed towards Fig. 7D

Art Unit: 3612

Species M - directed towards Fig. 8

Species N - directed towards Fig. 9

Species O - directed towards Fig. 10.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by

Art Unit: 3612

37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP  $\square$  809.02(a).

- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examiners even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least

one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be

accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(I).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-

305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E.

Page 7

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

May 20, 2004